

# The thermal energy of a scalar field in a one-dimensional compact space

E. Elizalde<sup>†</sup>

Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC/CSIC)  
Edifici Nexus, Gran Capità 2-4, 08034 Barcelona, Spain;  
Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria  
Facultat de Física, Universitat de Barcelona  
Av. Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

and

A. C. Tort<sup>‡,\*</sup>

Departamento de Física Teórica - Instituto de Física  
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro  
C.P. 68528, 21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

1st February 2008

## Abstract

We discuss some controverted aspects of the evaluation of the thermal energy of a scalar field in a one-dimensional compact space. The calculations are carried out using a generalised zeta function approach.

<sup>†</sup> e-mail: elizalde@ieec.fcr.es

<sup>‡</sup> e-mail: tort@if.ufrj.br

\* Present address: Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC/CSIC) Edifici Nexus, Gran Capità 2-4, 08034 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail address: visit11@ieec.fcr.es

# 1 Introduction

In a recent paper Brevik, Milton and Odintsov [1] calculated the thermal energy associated with several types of quantum fields living in  $S^1 \times S^{d-1}$  geometries with  $d = 2, 3$ . In particular the thermal energy of a quantum neutral scalar field defined on a one-dimensional compact space at finite temperature ( $S^1 \times S^1$ ) was found by those authors to depend quadratically and also linearly on the temperature in the high-temperature limit. Their result reads

$$E(\beta) \simeq \frac{1}{24a} \left( \frac{2\pi a}{\beta} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\beta}, \quad a/\beta \gg 1, \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta$  is the reciprocal of the thermal equilibrium temperature  $T$ . This expression is in agreement with the corresponding one obtained by Kutasov and Larsen [2] (in the high-temperature limit) but at variance with the one obtained by Klemm *et al* [3]. These last authors justify their result by arguing that the contribution of the zero modes becomes significant only when the saddle-point approximation does not hold anymore. This disagreement has arisen some doubts concerning the correctness of Brevik *et al*'s evaluation. Some other authors also strongly support the necessity to get rid of the zero mode (see, for instance, [4]), a common practice also in e.g. zeta function regularization. However, it has been argued by Dowker that the correct result for the thermal energy for this particular arrangement of quantum field and geometry contains a positive, linearly-dependent term in the temperature that in the high-temperature limit cancels exactly the corresponding negative term in (1), see [5] and references therein. This same result can be inferred from Cardy's analysis of partition functions for conformal field theories in higher dimensions [6]. Besides a fundamental problem concerning the associated entropy function, this disagreement may have consequences for the Verlinde-Cardy relations [7, 8].

The purpose of this brief note is to examine such questions a little bit further. We will see that a generalised zeta function approach to the problem shows that: (i) there is indeed a contribution to the thermal energy of the spatial zero mode as calculated independently by Dowker [9] and Dowker and Kirsten [10], and also Cardy [6]; (ii) though the thermal energy is independent of the scaling mass inherent to zeta function regularization, the free energy and the entropy associated with the spatial zero mode depend on the scaling mass; and finally, (iii) that there is no sense in discarding the terms that depend on the scaling mass, since this would lead to a violation of the fundamental thermodynamical relations; in fact, these need the inclusion of a dimensionful parameter to go with  $\beta$  and provide the unit scale in such a way that the laws of thermodynamics be obeyed by the thermodynamics of the zero mode. Or, to put it in other words, it does not seem to have a sense to choose a particular, convenient value of the scaling mass at this stage of the procedure, any such value being inescapably arbitrary. The full resolution of the problem can only be obtained after carrying out a compulsory renormalization procedure which

makes contact with physical experiment.

## 2 Zeta function approach to the partition function

We begin by briefly sketching a generalised zeta function [11] approach to the partition function of a quantum scalar field in thermal equilibrium with a heat bath at a fixed temperature  $\beta^{-1}$ .

The zeta function for conformally coupled massless scalars fields living on a compact  $d_R$ -dimensional manifold at finite temperature reads

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta\left(s; \frac{\partial^2 + \xi R}{\mu^2}\right) &= \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial^2 + \xi R}{\mu^2}\right)^{-s} \\ &= \mu^{2s} \sum_{\lambda} \left(\omega_{\lambda}^2\right)^{-s},\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where  $\partial^2 := \nabla^2 + \partial^2/\partial^2\tau$ ,  $\mu$  is a scaling mass,  $\xi = (D-2)/4(D-1)$  is the conformal parameter and  $R$  is the Ricci curvature scalar. Here  $D = d_R + 1$  where  $d_R$  denotes the number of dimensions of the manifold. The eigenvalues  $\omega_{\lambda}^2$  of the Euclidean d'Alembertian operator are given by

$$\omega_{\lambda}^2 = \left(\frac{2\pi n}{\beta}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{\ell}^2}{a^2}\right)^2,\tag{3}$$

where, in principle,  $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ . Here  $M_{\ell}^2/a^2$  denote the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator on the  $d_R$ -dimensional manifold. For a bosonic neutral scalar field the partition function can be obtained from the zeta function through

$$\log Z(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta'\left(s=0; \frac{\partial^2 + \xi R}{\mu^2}\right).\tag{4}$$

We can separate the infinite temperature sector, which corresponds to  $n = 0$ , i.e., the zero mode associated with the compactified Euclidean temporal dimension, from the finite temperature sector and at the same time isolate the spatial zero mode in the following way. Suppose that the spatial zero mode corresponds to  $\ell = 0$  and the remaining  $\ell$  are shifted to  $\ell + 1$  such that  $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  with an appropriate degeneracy factor taken into account. For convenience, however, suppose initially that the spatial zero mode is absent. Then taking Eq. (3) into (2), performing a Mellin transform, and making use of the Poisson sum formula (or Jacobi theta function identity)

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2\pi\tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2\pi/\tau},\tag{5}$$

with  $\tau = 4\pi t/\beta^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta\left(s; \frac{\partial^2 + \xi R}{\mu^2}\right) &= \frac{\mu^{2s}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{s-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty D_\ell e^{-(M_\ell^2/a^2)t} \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty e^{-(4\pi^2 n^2/\beta^2)t} \\
&= \frac{\mu^{2s}}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty D_\ell \int_0^\infty dt t^{s-3/2} e^{-(M_\ell^2/a^2)t} + \frac{\mu^{2s}}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty D_\ell \\
&\times \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty dt t^{s-3/2} e^{-n^2 \beta^2/4t - M_\ell^2/a^2 t},
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $D_\ell$  is the degeneracy factor. It is not hard to see that the spatial zero mode can be taken into account by considering the additional term

$$\zeta_{Z.M.}\left(s; \frac{\partial^2 + \xi R}{\mu^2}\right) = \frac{\mu^{2s}}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty dt t^{s-3/2} e^{-n^2 \beta^2/4t}. \tag{7}$$

The contribution of the spatial zero mode as it stands is divergent, the origin of this divergence being the simultaneous consideration of two zero modes, the temporal and the spatial ones. Nevertheless, we can regularise it and extract its finite contribution in several ways. One of this ways is to apply Eq. (5) and discard terms that do not depend on  $\beta$  or contribute to the partition function with a term which is linear in  $\beta$ . We obtain

$$\zeta_{Z.M.}\left(s; \frac{\partial^2 + \xi R}{\mu^2}\right) = 2 \left(\frac{\mu\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{2s} \zeta_R(2s). \tag{8}$$

Since  $\zeta_R(0) \neq 0$ , we can expect a scaling mass dependence of some or all thermodynamical quantities associated with the spatial zero mode. The derivative at  $s = 0$  reads

$$\zeta'_{Z.M.}\left(s = 0; \frac{\partial^2 + \xi R}{\mu^2}\right) = 4 \log\left(\frac{\mu\beta}{2\pi}\right) \zeta_R(0) + 4\zeta'(0). \tag{9}$$

The last term is linear in  $\beta$  and can be discarded, as we did above (its contribution can readily be absorbed in the regularization mass  $\mu$ ).

An alternative way (much more elegant mathematically) of treating the thermal contribution of the zero mode is to proceed along the lines pioneered in [12], that is (in a nutshell) to use the ordinary formulas of zeta regularization till the end, while ‘lifting’ the zero modes (e.g. preventing them from becoming zero), both the spatial and temporal one in this case, with the help of two small parameters (say  $\varepsilon$  and  $\eta$ ), which are finally taken to zero after the whole zeta regularization process (which uses the ordinary expressions in the absence of zero modes) has been carried through. This method provides, in some situations, a justification of the usual principal part prescription (see [12]), and in

the present case leads also to a complete cancellation (more than that, it actually avoids the appearance) of the divergences that we have just discarded on physical (dimensional) grounds.

Making use of the integral representation for the modified Bessel function of the third kind [13]

$$\int_0^\infty dx x^{\nu-1} e^{-\frac{a}{x}-bx} = 2 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\nu/2} K_\nu(2\sqrt{ab}), \quad (10)$$

for the temperature-dependent part describing the non-zero modes, and adding the zero-mode contribution, we have

$$\log Z(\beta) = -\frac{\beta}{2a} \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty D_\ell M_\ell - \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\mu\beta}{2\pi} \right) - \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty D_\ell \log(1 - e^{-\beta M_\ell/a}), \quad (11)$$

where we have also used the explicit form of  $K_{1/2}(z)$  [13]. Notice that in this approach—and also in the one described in [12]—there is no temperature dependent pole as in [9]. The factor  $2\pi$  in the denominator of the log term in this equation is fully arbitrary (it can obviously be absorbed into the  $\mu$  parameter). It would lack any sense to set  $\mu$  equal to a particular value (say 1, or  $2\pi$ ), as  $\mu$  clearly provides the (regularization) scale.

Equation (11) can be applied to several situations concerning scalar conformally coupled fields on a  $d_R$ -dimensional manifold. The first term of Eq. (11) is associated with the zero temperature vacuum energy, the second one describes the thermal corrections due to the spatial zero mode (when present, if not so, this term has just to be deleted), and the third one is the thermal contribution due to real excitations of the conformally coupled fields on  $S^{d-1}$ . This simple and easy to interpret formula is the result of the generalised zeta function approach used here.

### 3 The thermodynamics associated with the zero mode

We consider, to start with, the thermodynamics associated with the zero mode. First of all, let us remark that the thermal energy associated with the zero mode does not depend on the scaling mass, in fact

$$E_{Z.M.}(\beta) = -\frac{d}{d\beta} \log Z_{Z.M.}(\beta) = \frac{d}{d\beta} \log \left( \frac{\mu\beta}{2\pi} \right) = \frac{1}{\beta}. \quad (12)$$

On the contrary, the free energy and the entropy do. The free energy is

$$F_{Z.M.}(\beta) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \log Z_{Z.M.}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \log \left( \frac{\mu\beta}{2\pi} \right), \quad (13)$$

and the entropy reads

$$S_{Z.M.}(\beta) = -\beta^2 \frac{d}{d\beta} \frac{1}{\beta} \log Z_{Z.M.}(\beta) = -\log \left( \frac{\mu\beta}{2\pi} \right) + 1. \quad (14)$$

Since the thermal energy and the free energy are related by

$$\beta F(\beta) = \int E(\beta) + C, \quad (15)$$

we see that, in order to have complete compatibility between (12) and (13), we must necessarily choose  $C = \log(\mu/2\pi)$ . One can also verify, quite easily, that the fundamental relation between the three quantities above,

$$S = \beta(E - F), \quad (16)$$

is actually satisfied by (12), (13) and (14). These results are in complete agreement with the ones obtained by Cardy [6], provided that we choose  $\mu = 2\pi$  ‘in inverse length units’. Their physical relevance, however, is dubious because, as we have already advanced and clearly see now, in order to maintain internally consistent thermodynamics, the need for a dimensionfull parameter  $\mu$  is unavoidable and setting it equal to any particular value at this stage (that is, setting arbitrarily a mass scale) is not justified. For this reason, in the following we will leave the zero mode aside (however, we will discuss the effect of its inclusion a bit later).

## 4 The high-temperature regime

For conformally coupled scalar fields on  $S^{d-1}$ , the relevant contribution from Eq. (11) (skipping the zero mode) reads

$$\log Z(\beta) = -\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\ell+1)^{d-2} \log \left( 1 - e^{-\beta(\ell+1)/a} \right). \quad (17)$$

And, also from Eq. (11), the zero temperature vacuum energy is

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\ell+1)^{d-2} (\ell+1) = \frac{1}{2a} \zeta(1-d). \quad (18)$$

Let us consider the one-dimensional compact space for which  $d_R = 1$  and  $D = d = 2$ . In this case

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2a} \zeta(-1) = -\frac{1}{24a}. \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, Eq. (??) reads

$$\log Z(\beta) = - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - e^{-\beta\ell/a}), \quad (20)$$

where we have shifted the quantum number  $\ell$  by one unit. Since the thermal energy is given by

$$\tilde{E}(\beta) = -\frac{d}{d\beta} \log Z(\beta), \quad (21)$$

one can combine (19), (20) and (21) to obtain the total energy, in the form

$$\begin{aligned} E(\beta) &= E_0 + \tilde{E}(\beta) \\ &= -\frac{1}{24a} + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell}{e^{\beta\ell/a} - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

One can now make use of an appropriate sum formula, say Euler-MacLaurin, Abel-Plana, or Poisson, in one of its several versions, to evaluate the sum on the rhs of (22). As an alternative to Euler-Maclaurin's formula employed in [1], let us consider here the Abel-Plana sum formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) = -\frac{1}{2}f(0) + \int_0^{\infty} dx f(x) + i \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{f(ix) - f(-ix)}{e^{2\pi x} - 1}. \quad (23)$$

A straightforward application of this expression, with  $f(x) = x/(e^{\beta x/a} - 1)$ , leads to

$$E(\beta) = -\frac{1}{2\beta} + \frac{1}{24a} \left( \frac{2\pi a}{\beta} \right)^2, \quad a/\beta \gg 1, \quad (24)$$

which is the result obtained in [1] and questioned in [5]. The inclusion of the zero mode here would have certainly led, unambiguously, to a cancellation of the  $\beta^{-1}$  dependence. But this is a very specific feature of the thermal energy, which is the *only* thermodynamical quantity that does not depend on the regularization scale of the zero mode.

Notice that (22) is more appropriate for a low-temperature representation of the energy, and upon the use of the Abel-Plana sum formula it yields the high-temperature result. As is well known, this is a property of this kind of sum formulas (starting with the Jacobi identity), which relate a series expansion valid for say low values of the relevant parameter with a corresponding series expansion for large values of the parameter. In spite of those formulas being identities (both expansions correspond of course to the same function), one should be careful not to mix terms of one of the expansions with terms of the other one.

It can be verified precisely that it is the third term in the Abel-Plana formula the one that exactly cancels the zero temperature contribution. In fact, the contribution of this term reads

$$i \int_0^\infty dx \frac{f(ix) - f(-ix)}{e^{2\pi x} - 1} = \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x}{e^{2\pi x} - 1} = \frac{1}{24}. \quad (25)$$

Observe also that the result given by (24) is closed, in the sense that the use of the Abel-Plana sum formula does not allow for exponential corrections, hence it holds only in the very high temperature regime.

## 5 The low-temperature regime

We will now construct an alternative representation for the thermal energy. Contrary to what has been done in the preceding section, through most of the present one we will be dealing with expressions valid in the high-temperature regime, and towards the end we will make use of the Abel-Plana formula in order to obtain the desired low-temperature expansion.<sup>1</sup>

In order to obtain, to begin with, a high-temperature representation of the free energy, we make use of the Poisson sum formula, in the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) = -\frac{f(0)}{2} + \int_0^\infty dx f(x) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty dx f(x) \cos(2\pi nx), \quad (26)$$

where here  $f(x) = \log(1 - e^{-\beta x/a})$ . A straightforward application of (26) to (20) yields

$$\log Z(\beta) = \frac{f(0)}{2} - I(0) - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I(n), \quad (27)$$

where

$$I(n) := \int_0^\infty dx f(x) \cos(2\pi nx), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (28)$$

The integrals defined by  $I(n)$  can be readily evaluated with the help of formulas to be found in [13], and the result is

$$\log Z(\beta) = \frac{f(0)}{2} + \frac{\pi^2 a}{6\beta} - \frac{\beta}{24a} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \coth\left(\frac{2\pi^2 na}{\beta}\right). \quad (29)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Both the high and low temperature expansions can be derived, in a completely equivalent but more direct way, from the representation of Eq. (22) in terms of the normalized Eisenstein series  $E_2(\tau)$  [4, 14].



The contribution due to  $f(0)$  can be explicitly calculated as follows. Expanding the log as usual we can write

$$f(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} d\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \zeta(\alpha+1) \left(\frac{\beta x}{a}\right)^{-\alpha} \quad (30)$$

where we have made use of the representation

$$e^{-s} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} d\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) s^{-\alpha}, \quad s, c \text{ real, } |s| < 1, \ c > 1. \quad (31)$$

In the limit  $x \rightarrow 0$  the finite contribution of  $f(x)$  is determined by the double pole of the integrand in Eq. (30) at  $\alpha = 0$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = f(0) = \log \left( \frac{\beta}{2\pi a} \right). \quad (32)$$

On the other hand, we can also make use of the expansion

$$\coth(x) = 1 + 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} e^{-2px}, \quad x > 0. \quad (33)$$

As a consequence, after discarding an unphysical (formally divergent) constant we end up with

$$\log Z(\beta) = \frac{\pi^2 a}{6\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\beta}{2\pi a} \right) - \frac{\beta}{24a} + \sum_{p,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-4\pi^2 n p a / \beta}. \quad (34)$$

It follows that the thermal part of the free energy in this representation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(\beta) &= -\frac{1}{\beta} \log Z(\beta) \\ &= -\frac{\pi^2 a}{6\beta^2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} \log \left( \frac{\beta}{2\pi a} \right) + \frac{1}{24a} - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-4\pi^2 n p a / \beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

As in the case of Sect. 2, an alternative calculation can be performed using standard zeta function methods *ab initio*, namely, the transform (31) already in the expansion of the starting expression (??). The final result obtained is exactly the same, but the calculation is very much shortened and (as before) it avoids at every step the formation of divergences.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>We owe K. Kirsten this observation and the correction of an error in our previous result.

Notice that our result, Eq. (35), has a log term and therefore does not fulfill the general relations given in [2], namely: that the high temperature expansion of the free energy must have the general form

$$-\tilde{F}(\beta)a = a_d \left(\frac{2\pi a}{\beta}\right)^d + a_{d-1}a_d \left(\frac{2\pi a}{\beta}\right)^{d-2} + \cdots + a_0 \left(\frac{2\pi a}{\beta}\right)^0 + O\left(e^{-4\pi^2 a/\beta}\right), \quad (36)$$

the coefficients of this expansion satisfying the relation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d/2} (-1)^k (2k-1) a_{2k} = 0. \quad (37)$$

If not for the log term, these relations would be fulfilled. Observe, however, that there would not be an immediate cancellation of the log term if we had included the zero mode contribution. In fact, the matching of the zero mode term,  $\log\left(\frac{\mu\beta}{2\pi}\right)$ , with the one, with opposite sign, coming from the high- $T$  limit,  $-\log\left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi a}\right)$ , is not a triviality. On the contrary, it has a very deep meaning: it takes place if and only if we *prescribe* that the regulating mass-dimensional parameter be set exactly equal to the inverse of the compactification length,  $\mu = 1/a$ . This may certainly be viewed as a most natural choice and a physically meaningful one —being  $1/a$  the only characteristic dimension in our model— but even then, this is no substitute for the pertinent renormalization procedure.

The total free energy is

$$F(\beta) = E_0 + \tilde{F}(\beta) = -\frac{\pi^2 a}{6\beta^2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} \log\left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi a}\right) - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-4\pi^2 n p a/\beta}. \quad (38)$$

The total energy reads

$$E(\beta) = \frac{d}{d\beta}(\beta F(\beta)) = \frac{\pi^2 a}{6\beta^2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} - \frac{4\pi^2 a}{\beta^2} \sum_{p,n=1}^{\infty} p e^{-4\pi^2 n p a/\beta}, \quad (39)$$

and can be summed over  $n$  to yield the high temperature representation

$$E(\beta) = \frac{\pi^2 a}{6\beta^2} - \frac{1}{2\beta} - \frac{4\pi^2 a}{\beta^2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{p}{e^{-4\pi^2 p a/\beta} - 1}. \quad (40)$$

If we now, as before, make use of the Abel-Plana sum formula (23), we obtain

$$E(\beta) = -\frac{1}{24a}, \quad a/\beta \ll 1. \quad (41)$$

Notice that, as announced above, we have here started from a high-temperature representation for the energy and now, upon the use of the Abel-Plana sum formula, we obtain the

energy in the zero-temperature limit, a procedure that can be considered the reciprocal of the one employed in Ref. [1]. Notice also that in the Abel-Plana formula, the first term leads to the zero temperature term, the second cancels out the linear contribution and the third one cancels out the Stefan-Boltzmann term.

## 6 Final remarks

In this brief analysis, we have focused on the possible contribution of the spatial zero mode to the thermal energy of a scalar field in a  $S^1 \times S^1$  geometry. We have shown that, though the thermal energy does not depend on the scaling mass  $\mu$ , the partition function, the free energy and the entropy do depend on the choice of value for this parameter. The important obstruction to be circumvented is to satisfy the third law of thermodynamics for, as it stands, the entropy (14) associated with the spatial zero mode diverges in the zero temperature limit, a fact also noticed in [1]. It was argued in [9] that the scaling mass can be redefined. Unfortunately, any tentative of redefining the scaling mass, that is, of eliminating the scaling mass dependence from (14), will lead to clear contradictions among the thermodynamical relations linking the basic quantities (12), (13) and (14). In fact, the scaling mass parameter cannot be made disappear. At most, it can be traded for a characteristic mass or inverse length of the system considered (here  $1/a$ , the inverse compactification radius). A subsequent renormalization procedure seems inescapable, which makes contact in the end with physical reality.

Let us point out that, although we have here considered a very simple model, in order to keep all terms under easy control and render the argument clear, the results obtained are valid under much more general circumstances, affecting very general physical systems that develop zero modes. The treatment has been that of (a natural extension of) the zeta function regularization prescription.

To sum up, only if one restricts the analysis to the thermal energy —and nothing else— can one avoid the situation here presented, since this is the only thermodynamical quantity that does not depend on the scaling mass. It would seem, thus, that coherence forces one to set the zero mode and its thermodynamics aside. Notice also that the thermal energy, the entropy and the free energy of the zero mode do not explicitly depend on the radius (or characteristic compactification length) of the manifold. This means that (at least at the one-loop level) their contribution to any isothermal process —e.g., the only ones permitted if the system is in thermal equilibrium with a heat bath— will not be physically observable. But this consideration would be completely reversed if the necessity for setting in fact  $\mu = 1/a$  would prevail in the end (as one of the factions in the already mentioned controversy tend to support), and this would open the door to an experimental manifestation of the zero mode and to the possibility of answering in the affirmative the

important question of the controverted physical relevance of these zero modes. In that sense, a fine experimental validation of Eq. (24) would be crucial (presence or absence of the linear dependence). For what we have seen, this would imply, necessarily, the breaking of the thermodynamical relations and the necessity of reformulating the third principle in the quantum field theory domain.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted with K. Kirsten for several valuable observations. A.C.T. wishes to acknowledge the hospitality of the Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC/CSIC) and the Universitat de Barcelona, Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria and the financial support of CAPES, the Brazilian agency for faculty improvement, Grant BExt 0168-01/2. The investigation of E.E. has been supported by DGI/SGPI (Spain), project BFM2000-0810, and by CIRIT (Generalitat de Catalunya), contract 1999SGR-00257.

## References

- [1] I. Brevik, K.A. Milton and S.D. Odintsov: *Entropy bounds in  $R \times S^3$  geometries*, hep-th/0202048 v3.
- [2] D. Kutasov and F. Larsen, *Partition sums and entropy bounds in weakly coupled CFT*, hep-th/0009244.
- [3] D. Klemm, A.C. Petkou and G. Siopsis, Nucl. Phys. **B 601** (2000) 380.
- [4] M.A.R. Osorio and M.A. Vázquez-Mozo, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8** (1993) 3111.
- [5] J.S. Dowker, *Zero modes, entropy bounds and partition functions*, hep-th/0203026.
- [6] J.L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. **B 366** (1991) 403.
- [7] E. Verlinde, *On the holographic principle in a radiation dominated universe*, hep-th/00084140 v2.
- [8] J.L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. **B 270** (1986) 186.
- [9] J.S. Dowker: Class. Quant. Grav. **1** (1984) 359.
- [10] J.S. Dowker and K. Kirsten, Comm. in Anal. and Geom. **7** (1999) 641.

- [11] E. Elizalde, S.D. Odintsov, A. Romeo, A.A. Bytsenko and S. Zerbini, *Zeta function regularization techniques with applications* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994); E. Elizalde: *Ten physical applications of spectral zeta functions* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995).
- [12] K. Kirsten and E. Elizalde, Phys. Lett. **B 365** (1996), 72.
- [13] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, 5th ed. (Academic Press, San Diego, 1994).
- [14] N. Koblitz, *Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms*, 2nd Ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993).